

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,394	09/13/2000	Yasuhiro Komori	862.C2001	8092
5514	7590 09/24/2002	,		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			NOLAN, DANIEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2654	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summanu	09/661,394	KOMORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel A. Nolan	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address –				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S						
, _	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 23,24,28,29,32-34,37 and 38 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,25-27,30,31,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2000</u> is/a		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.12	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		\				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification, such as:
 - The language of the 1st three lines (of page 2) should be reworded, as it is subject to interpretation.
 - The sentence of lines 4-5 (page 20) can similarly be taken as contradictory.
- 2. The summary of the invention is not a summary at all, but amounts to a mere recitation of the claims that is contrary to the spirit and intent of CFR § 1.73:

"Summary of the invention: A brief summary of the invention indicating its nature and substance, which may include a statement of the object of the invention, should precede the detailed description. Such summary should, when set forth, be commensurate with the invention as claimed and any object recited should be that of the invention as claimed."

At 12 pages, the summary is not brief.

Furthermore, permitting this cosmetic makeover of the claims to be considered part of the disclosure would amount to having the claims provide the only specification for themselves. Such invalid circular reasoning cannot be allowed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

Art Unit: 2654

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested:

"Environment Compensation by Experience for Speech Recognition Communications".

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 6-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - The numbering of the claims is inconsistent with the guidelines established in the MPEP relating to claims being numbered in sequence and in order of dependencies. See MPEP § 608.01(i) and 37 CFR 1.75(g).
 - The claims of the immediate application have been numbered so as to place claims with similar wording together, making dependencies unclear and needlessly complicating the prosecution of the case.
 - No correction is possible at this time because the MPEP stipulates that the original numbering of claims will be preserved. The claims will be renumbered accordingly should the case be allowed. That this objection has been coined will serve to advise the applicant of the difficulty presented and to require that any subsequent amendment or filings submitted from the same origin not have this problem.

Art Unit: 2654

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 34-38 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Colons are missing from the end of the preamble to the claims (page 38 lines 5, 15 & 26 and page 39 lines 11 & 24).
 - The Examiner is proceeding with the understanding that
 - o The lines (above) end with the phrase "causing a computer to function as:"
 - o Indicating that the features of the claim (indented lines 6, 9, etc.) are subordinate to the preamble (lines 2-5, etc.).
 - The punctuation in claim 37 is not consistent, making the features of the claim subject to interpretation (end of lines 15 & 17).
 - The Examiner is proceeding with the understanding that:
 - o The word "and" is removed from the end of line 15, and
 - The comma in line 17 is replaced with a semicolons.
 - Claim 38 should follow the same grammatical organization, for consistency.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Kato et al

- 7. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-17, 19-22, 25-27, 30-31 & 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being directly anticipated by Kato *et al* (U.S. Patent 6,263,202).
- 8. Regarding claim 1, <u>Kato et al</u> discloses that their *Communications System and Wireless Terminal* applies to the features as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of a speech input terminal (1201) for transmitting speech data (12) to a speech recognition apparatus (3204) through a wire or wireless communication network (14).

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

- Kato et al (figure 7) reads on the feature of speech input means (401)
- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 7) further reads on the features of *means for creating* information for speech recognition (403) which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state
- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 7) further reads on the feature of *communication means for* transmitting the information to that speech recognition apparatus (406).
- 9. Regarding claim 2, the claim is set forth with the same limits as claim 1.

 Kato et al (403 in figure 7) reads on the feature that the information is based on at least one of a characteristic of that speech input means, a noise characteristic, and a speaker characteristic.
- 10. Regarding claim 3, the claim is set forth with the same limits as claim 1.

 Kato et al (404 in figure 7) reads on the feature of converting the speech data on the basis of the conversion condition (as in column 3 lines 3-16) when a data conversion condition for communication based on the information is received from that speech recognition apparatus.
- 11. Regarding claim 6, Kato et al (figure 2) reads on the features of:
 - A speech recognition means (1202) for executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal (1201) through a wire or wireless communication network (14); and

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of the means for receiving information for speech recognition (3201), which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state from that speech input terminal (3202),
- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature that wherein that speech recognition means executes speech recognition processing on the basis of the information (3204).
- 12. Regarding claim 7, Kato et al (figure 2) reads on the features of
 - A speech recognition apparatus for executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal through a wire or wireless communication network (18) comprising:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 22a) reads on the feature of means for creating information for speech recognition (904) which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state, on the basis of the transmitted speech data; and
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 18A) reads on the feature of means for executing speech recognition processing (502) on the basis of the information.
- 13. Regarding claim 10, Kato et al (figure 2) reads on the features of
 - A speech recognition apparatus for executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal through a wire or wireless communication network (18) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of a means for receiving information for speech recognition that is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state from that speech input terminal (as conversion type data from 3201 to 3202) and the means for determining a data conversion condition for communication on the basis of the information
- <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of a means for transmitting the data conversion condition to that speech input terminal (3204).
- 14. Regarding claim 11, Kato et al (figure 2) reads on the features of
 - A speech recognition apparatus for executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal through a wire or wireless communication network (18) comprising:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of a means for creating information for speech recognition, which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state, on the basis of the transmitted speech data (column 7 lines 4-13).
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 2) reads on the feature of a means for determining a data conversion condition for communication on the basis of the information (column 3 lines 3-16)
 - Kato et al (figure 2) reads on the feature of a means for transmitting the data conversion condition to that speech input terminal (column 6 line 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

Art Unit: 2654

15. Regarding claims 12 and 13; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 10 and 11, respectively. Kato et al reads on the feature of a data conversion condition based on a quantization table created on the basis of information (column 7 lines 1-2).

Page 9

- 16. Regarding claims 14, 15, 16, 17 & 18; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 6, 7, 10, 11 & 8, respectively. Kato et al (in figure 23) reads on the feature of storing the information in correspondence with each speech input terminal (column 164) when speech input terminal comprises a plurality of speech input terminals.
- 17. Regarding claims 19 and 20; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 10 and 11, respectively. Kato et al (column 8 line 35) reads on the feature that when the speech input terminal comprises a plurality of speech input terminals, storing the data conversion condition (as disclosed in column 3 lines 3-16) in correspondence with each of that speech input terminals.
- 18. With regard to claim 21, Kato et al (figure 2) applies to the features as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (12 & 18) reads on a speech communication system comprising a speech input terminal and a speech recognition apparatus which can communicate with each other through a wire or wireless communication network

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

- <u>Kato et al</u> (1201) reads on that speech input terminal comprises speech input means,
- <u>Kato et al</u> (403 figure 7) reads on means for creating information for speech recognition that is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state,
- <u>Kato et al</u> (406 figure 7) reads on the feature of communication means for transmitting the information to that speech recognition apparatus, and
- <u>Kato et al</u> (36 in figure 3) reads on the feature that the speech recognition apparatus comprises means for executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.
- 19. With regard to claim 22, Kato et al (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (12 & 18) reads on a speech communication system comprising a speech input terminal and a speech recognition apparatus which can communicate with each other through a wire or wireless communication network
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (403 figure 7) reads on means for creating information for speech recognition unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state,
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (406 figure 7) reads on the feature of communication means for transmitting the information to that speech recognition apparatus, and
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (36 in figure 3) reads on the feature that the speech recognition apparatus comprises means for executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,394

- 20. With regard to claim 25, Kato et al (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (12 & 18) reads on a speech communication method comprising a speech input terminal and a speech recognition apparatus which can communicate with each other through a wire or wireless communication network
 - Kato et al (403 figure 7) reads on means for creating information for speech recognition that is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state,
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (406 figure 7) reads on the feature of *communication means for* transmitting the information to that speech recognition apparatus.
- 21. Regarding claims 26 and 35, <u>Kato *et al*</u> (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (12 & 18) reads on the method of executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal through a wire or wireless communication network
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (parameters to 3202) reads on receiving information for speech recognition unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state,
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (3204) reads on the feature of executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.

Art Unit: 2654

22. With regard to claim 27, Kato et al (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:

- <u>Kato et al</u> (12 & 18) reads on a speech communication method of executing speech recognition processing for speech data transmitted from a speech input terminal through a wire or wireless communication network
- <u>Kato et al</u> (403 figure 7) reads on means for creating information for speech recognition unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state,
- <u>Kato et al</u> (36 in figure 3) reads on the feature of executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.
- 23. With regard to claim 30, Kato et al (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (as 2nd parameter set to 1202) reads on the feature of *creating*information for speech recognition, which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (1205) reads on the feature of transmitting the information to the speech recognition apparatus
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (in 34) reads on the feature of executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.

Art Unit: 2654

24. Regarding claims 31 and 36, <u>Kato *et al*</u> (figure 2) applies to every feature as follows:

- <u>Kato et al</u> (as 2nd parameter set to 1202) reads on the feature of *creating*information for speech recognition, which is unique to that speech input terminal or represents an operation state
- <u>Kato et al</u> (in 34) reads on the feature of executing speech recognition processing on the basis of the information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 25. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which that subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 26. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2654

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Kato et al & Schliwa

- 27. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kato et al</u> in view of <u>Schliwa</u> (U.S. Patent 6,223,161).
- 28. Regarding claim 4, the claim is set forth with the same limits as claim 1.
 - <u>Kato et al</u> (column 7 lines 42-55) reads on the feature of *means for storing the* information;
 - Where <u>Kato et al</u> does not disclose that information will not be replenished unless necessary, <u>Schliwa</u> (4th item in figure 4) reads on the features of providing for a means for determining whether there has been a change in the information in each communication; and means for, when there has been no change in the information, notifying that speech recognition apparatus of the corresponding information.
 - It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method of <u>Schliwa</u> to the device/method of <u>Kato et al</u> because <u>Schliwa</u> teaches the convention of checking the usage buffer before making an unnecessary change to the present settings of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 2654

Kato et al & Nagai et al

29. Claims 5, 8, 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al in view of Nagai et al (U.S. Patent 6,058,365).

- 30. Regarding claims 5 and 8-9; the claims are set forth with the same limits as claims 1, 6 and 7, respectively.
 - While <u>Kato et al</u> (figure 5) reads on the feature that the communication means transmits the information (50) and/or the speech recognition model to that speech recognition apparatus, they do not detail speech recognition process to the level of detail that would disclose creating a speech recognition model.
 - Nagai et al (109 in figure 1) reads on the feature of means for creating a speech recognition model (column 3 lines 61-64) on the basis of the information.
 - It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method of <u>Nagai et al</u> to the device/method of <u>Kato et al</u> because <u>Nagai et al</u> teaches one to save time by creating a model for immediate processing to known models.
- 31. Regarding claim 18, the claim is set forth with the same limits as claims 8. Kato et al (column 8 line 35) reads on the feature that when the speech input terminal comprises a plurality of speech input terminals, storing the speech recognition model in correspondence with each of that speech input terminals.

Art Unit: 2654

Allowable Subject Matter

32. Claims 23-24 28-29 32-34 and 37-38 are allowed.

33. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

- Heuristic or learning transmitters either retain successful parameters until successful acknowledgement is received and the settings fed back and stored for future use, or make an evaluation based on this immediate feedback.
- Such feedback may be effectively transmitted between adjacent components in a "closed system".
- Those immediate application claims indicated as allowable have the settings transmitted back to the receiver through the network from the speech processor.
- The closest prior art of record, <u>Kato et al</u> '202, has no feedback suggested from the receiver/converter to the transmitter/input terminal.
- As the next closest prior art of record, <u>Watari et al</u> employs an intervening *control unit* between input terminals and host so does meet the featured condition of terminal communication with host. Further, the information passed is confined to content and availability, and does not include the *environmentals* defined in the instant specification as making up the feature incorporating transmitting of the *data conversion condition*.

Art Unit: 2654

- Regarding claims 23-24 28-29 32-34 and 37-38, the settings are established in the remote component and sent to the transmitter for future use. Consequently, the feature where the speech recognition processing transmits the data conversion condition (& information) to the speech input terminal is neither anticipated nor is it found in obvious combination in the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 34. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Watari et al (U.S. Patent 4,385,359) remotely communicates from multiple input speech/voice terminals to a central host, with information being passed back to the input terminals regarding use and availability.
 - <u>Hemphill</u> (U.S. Patent 5,774,628) provides a closed system that maintains its own grammar.
 - Yasuhiro et al (Japan Patent 2001-086239) is the parent of this application, translated to provide understanding of the invention because the immediate application provided no concise understanding of the patentable features of the invention or improvements over prior art.
 - <u>Tomohiro</u> (Japan Patent 2001-067094) adjusts the noise parameters based on the success indicated by the receiver.
 - <u>Hirokai</u> (Japan Patent 06-124097) is a responsive receiver that retains settings.
 - Shinichi (Japan Patent 06-149290) is a closed circuit retaining noise settings.

Art Unit: 2654

- <u>Takashi</u> (Japan Patent 07-092989 and 04-318900) retains settings in table form

for multiple speech/voice input terminals.

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Daniel A. Nolan at telephone (703) 305-1368 whose

normal business hours are Mon, Tue, Thu & Fri, from 7 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold, can be reached at (703) 305-4379.

The fax phone number for Technology Center 2600 is (703) 872-9314. Label

informal and draft communications as "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED", & designate formal

communications as "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE".

Formal response to this action may be faxed according to the above instructions,

or mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or hand-delivered to:

Crystal Park 2,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to Technolocy Center 2600 Customer Service Office at

telephone number (703) 306-0377.

Daniel A. Nolan Examiner Art Unit 2654

dan

September 17, 2002

Marsha D. Banks-Harold SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600